PREDEFINED RISK ASSESSMENT



IT-PDRA-05: BVLOS flight with NOTAM over controlled ground area in Urban environment

(a) Scope

This PDRA is the result of applying the SORA methodology to UAS operations performed in the 'specific' category with the following main attributes:

- 1) UA with maximum characteristic dimensions (i.e. maximum distance between rotors for multicopters, wingspan for fixed-wing) up to 3 m and Take-Off Mass (including payload) up to 25 Kg;
- 2) operated BVLOS of the remote pilot;
- 3) inside a controlled ground area within a populated environment;
- 4) in segregated airspace (through a NOTAM); and
- 5) in a volume of airspace where BVLOS is allowed referring to the geo-awareness maps on D-flight portal.

(b) PDRA characterisation and provisions

Characterisation and provisions for this PDRA are summarised in the following table:

PDRA characterisation and provisions				
Item		Requirement	Means of Evidence	Supporting Material
1. Operational	chara	cterisation (scope and limitations)		
	1.1.	No autonomous operations: the remote pilot should have the		The Operations Manual shall
Level of		ability to control the UA, except in case of a lost link.		be developed in accordance
human	1.2.	The remote pilot only operates one UA at a time.		with the template provided
intervention	1.3.	The remote pilot does not operate from a moving vehicle.		in Appendix D
	1.4.	Handover between RPSs is not performed.		
	1.5.	Launch/recovery: VLOS distance from the remote pilot	Operations Manual	
	1.6.	In flight:		
IIA ranga limit		1.6.1. For multicopters, UA is not operated at more than 2		
UA range limit		km from the remote pilot.		
		1.6.2. For fixed-wing, UA is not operated at more than 6		
		km from the remote pilot.		
Overflown	1.7	Controlled ground area	Procedures to ensure that only active	
areas	1.7.	Controlled ground area.	participants will be present in the area	

			1
		(with clear identification of who active	
		participants are)	l E
		Procedures should also include the	
		means to interact with relevant public	
		authorities/entities	
		Permits from local authorities where	
		applicable/needed.	
	1.8. Maximum characteristic dimension (e.g. rotor diameter/area		The Operations Manual shall
UA limitations	or maximum distance between rotors, wing-span): 3 m		be developed in accordance
	1.9. Take off mass (including payload)up to 25 kg		with the template provided
	1.10. The maximum height of the operational volume is not greater		
Flight height	than 120 m (400 ft) above the overflown surface .		
limit	Note: In addition to the vertical limit for the operational volume, an		
IIIIIC	air risk buffer is to be considered (see 'air risk' under point 3 of this		
	table).		
	1.11. Operated:		
	1.11.1 in operational volume within the volumes	Operations Manual	
Aircnaco	allowed by ATM-09, unless the UAS operator is in	Operations Manual	
Airspace	receipt of the appropriate permission that is segregated		
	through a NOTAM (corresponding to an air risk that can		
	be classified as ARC-a).		
Visibility	N/A		
	1.12. The UA should not be used to drop material or carry		
	dangerous goods, except for dropping items in connection		
Others	with agricultural, horticultural or forestry activities in which		
	the carriage of the items does not contravene any other		
	applicable regulations.		

2. Operational risk classification (according to SORA)					
Final GRC	2	Final ARC	Arc-a	SAIL	I

Item Requirement	Means of Evidence	Supporting
------------------	-------------------	------------

			Material
3. Operational n	nitigations		
Operational volume	 3.1. To determine the operational volume, the applicant considers the position-keeping capabilities of the UAS in 4D space (latitude, longitude, height and time). 3.2. In particular, the accuracy of the navigation solution, the flight technical error of the UAS and the path definition error (e.g. map error) and latencies are considered and addressed in this determination. 3.3. If the UA leaves the operational volume, emergency procedures are activated immediately. 	Operations Manual	The Operations Manual shall be developed in accordance with the template provided
Ground risk	 3.4. A ground risk buffer is established to protect third parties on the ground. 3.4.1.The minimum criterion should be the use of the '1:1 rule' (e.g if the UA is planned to operate at a height of 120 m, the ground risk buffer should at least be 120 m). 3.5. The operational volume and the ground risk buffer is all contained in a non-populated environment. 	on-site inspection or appraisal, and should be able to determine that the	
Air risk	 3.6. The operational volume should be within the volumes allowed by ATM-09, unless the UAS operator is in receipt of the appropriate permission. 3.7. An appropriate air risk buffer is defined. 3.8. This air risk buffer is contained in the volume allowed by ATM-09 3.9. Prior to flight, the proximity of the planned operation to manned aircraft activity should be assessed. 	Operations Manual	
VOs	N/A		
4. Operator pro	risions		
Operator	4.1. The UAS operator should: 4.1.1.have knowledge of the UAS being used; and 4.1.2.develop relevant procedures including at least the following as a minimum: operational procedures (e.g. checklists), maintenance, training, responsibilities, and duties.	Operations Manual	The Operations Manual shall be developed in accordance with the template provided
UAS operations	4.2. The operational procedures should be validated against standards	Operations Manual	The Operations

	1	was a migral by the a second out of the wife and for in a second second second	Francisco Decreto Dian (FDD)	Manual and the CDD
		recognised by the competent authority and/or in accordance with a	Emergency Response Plan (ERP)	Manual and the ERP
		means of compliance acceptable to that authority.	Mata	shall be developed
		The UAS operator should develop an Emergency Response Plan	Notes:	in accordance with
		The remote crew should be competent and be authorised by the UAS	The adequacy of the contingency	the template
		operator to carry out the intended operations.	and emergency procedures should	provided
		A list of the remote crew members authorised to carry out UAS	be proved through:	
		operations is established and kept up to date.	 dedicated flight tests; or 	
		The applicant should have a policy that defines how the remote crew	 simulations, provided that the 	
		can declare themselves fit to operate before conducting any	representativeness of the	
		operation.	simulation means is proven for	
			the intended purpose with	
			positive results;	
			 Operations Manual should include 	
			an up-to-date record of all the	
			relevant qualifications, experience	
			and/or training completed by the	
			remote crew.	
			Operations Manual and/or	The Operations
			Maintenance Manual	Manual shall be
				developed in
			Notes:	accordance with the
			 The maintenance instructions 	template provided
	4.7.	The UAS maintenance instructions should be defined by the UAS	should be documented in the	
		operator, documented and cover at least the UAS manufacturer's	Operations Manual	
		instructions and requirements when applicable.	 The maintenance conducted on 	
UAS	4.8.	The maintenance staff should be competent and should have	the UAS should be recorded in a	
maintenance		received an authorisation from the UAS operator to carry out	maintenance log system.	
		maintenance.	 A list of the maintenance staff 	
	4.9.	The maintenance staff should use the UAS maintenance instructions	authorised to carry out	
		while performing maintenance.	maintenance should be	
			established and kept up to date.	
			 A record of all the relevant 	
			qualifications, experience and/or	
			training completed by the	
			maintenance staff should be	

		established and kept up to date.	
		 The maintenance log may be 	
		requested for inspection/audit by	•
		ENAC or an authorised	
		representative.	
	4.10. The applicant should ensure that the level of performance for any	Operations Manual	The Operations
	externally provided service necessary for the safety of the flight is		Manual shall be
External services	adequate for the intended operation.	Note: The applicant should declare	developed in
	4.11. The roles and responsibilities between the applicant and the external	that this adequate level of	accordance with the
	service provider should be defined in the Operations Manual.	performance is achieved.	template provided
5. Provisions for	the personnel in charge of duties essential to the UAS operation		
	Ref. LG 2020/001-NAV para 6.4		
6. Technical prov	risions		
	6.1. Means to monitor critical parameters for a safe flight should be		
	available, in particular the:		
	6.1.1.UA position, height or altitude, ground speed or airspeed,		
	attitude and trajectory;		
	6.1.2.UAS energy status (fuel, battery charge, etc.); and the		
	6.1.3.status of critical functions and systems; as a minimum, for		
General	services based on RF signals (e.g. C2 Link, GNSS, etc.), means	Flight Manual	
	should be provided to monitor the adequate performance		
	and trigger an alert if the level becomes too low.		
	6.2. The UA should have the performance capability to descend safely		
	from its operating altitude to a 'safe altitude' in less than a minute, or		
	have a descent rate of at least 2.5 m/s (500 fpm).		
	6.3. The UAS information and control interfaces should be clearly and		
	succinctly presented and should not confuse, cause unreasonable		
	fatigue, or contribute to causing any disturbance to the personnel in		
	charge of duties essential to the UAS operation such that this could		
HMI	adversely affect the safety of the operation.	Flight Manual	
	6.4. The applicant should conduct an evaluation of the UAS considering		
	and addressing human factors to determine whether the HMI is		
	appropriate for the mission.		
C2 links and	6.5. The UAS should comply with the appropriate requirements for radio		The Operations
communication	equipment and the use of the RF spectrum.	Operations Manual	Manual shall be
communication	equipment and the use of the M spectrum.		ivialiuai silali DC



	 6.6. The Primary C2L shall operate in Radio Line of Sight 6.7. Protection mechanisms against interference should be used, especially if unlicensed bands (e.g. ISM) are used for the C2 Link (mechanisms such as FHSS, technology or frequency de-confliction by procedure). 6.8. The UAS shall be equipped with a C2 Link Recovery function in case of loss. 		developed in accordance with the template provided
Tactical mitigation	 6.9. The UAS design should be adequate to ensure that the time required between a command given by the remote pilot and the UA executing it does not exceed 5 seconds. 6.10. Where an electronic means is used to assist the remote pilot in being aware of the UA position in relation to potential 'airspace intruders', the information is provided with a latency and an update rate for intruder data (e.g. position, speed, altitude, track) that support the decision criteria. 	Flight Manual	
Containment	 6.11. To ensure a safe recovery from a technical issue involving the UAS or an external system supporting the operation, the UAS operator should ensure: 6.11.1. that no probable failure of the UAS or any external system supporting the operation should lead to operation outside the operational volume. 6.11.2. that it is reasonably expected that a fatality will not occur from any probable failure of the UAS, or any external system supporting the operation. 6.12. The vertical extension of the operational volume should be 120 m above the surface. Note: The term 'probable' needs to be understood in its qualitative interpretation, i.e. 'anticipated to occur one or more times during the entire system/operational life of an item.' 6.13. A design and installation appraisal should be made available and should minimally include: 6.13.1. design and installation features (independence, separation and redundancy); 6.13.2. particular risks (e.g. hail, ice, snow, electro-magnetic 	Operations Manual Compliance to all these requirements can be ensured by using both: • a Flight Termination system that is independent and dissimilar from the Primary Control System • a geo-fencing function Evidence of analyses and test reports demonstrating the effectiveness of the containment measures shall be provide.	The Operations Manual shall be developed in accordance with the template provided

ENAC

- interference, etc.) relevant to the ConOps.
- 6.14. The following additional provisions should apply if the adjacent area includes an assembly of people or if the adjacent airspace is classified as ARC-d (in accordance with AMC1 to Article 11 of the UAS Regulation):
 - 6.14.1. The probability of leaving the operational volume should be less than 10-4/FH.
 - 6.14.2. No single failure of the UAS or any external system supporting the operation should lead to operation outside the ground risk buffer.

Note: The term 'failure' needs to be understood as an occurrence, which affects the operation of a component, part, or element such that it can no longer function as intended. Errors may cause failures but are not considered to be failures. Some structural or mechanical failures may be excluded from the criterion if it can be shown that these mechanical parts were designed according to aviation industry best practices.

- 6.14.3. SW and AEH whose development error(s) could directly lead to operations outside the ground risk buffer should be developed to an industry standard or methodology recognised as adequate by the competent authority.
- Note 1: The proposed additional safety provisions cover both the integrity and assurance levels.
- Note 2: The proposed additional safety provisions do not imply a systematic need to develop the SW and AEH according to an industry standard or methodology recognised as adequate by the competent authority. For instance, if the UA design includes an independent engine shutdown function which systematically prevents the UA from exiting the ground risk buffer due to single failures or a SW/AEH error of the flight controls, the intent of provisions 6.16.2 and 6.16.3 could be considered to be met.